

Title: **\*\*People of the Philippines vs. Ma. Del Pilar Rosario C. Casa\*\***

Facts:

The case revolves around Ma. Del Pilar Rosario C. Casa, who was charged with violation of Sections 5 and 11, Article II of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 9165, also known as the Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002, as amended by R.A. No. 10640. Specifically, she was accused of selling and possessing dangerous drugs, Methamphetamine Hydrochloride, commonly known as “shabu.”

On July 21, 2015, in Dumaguete City, an operation led by the Special Operations Group (SOG) of the Negros Oriental Police Provincial Office targeted Casa following a tip from a confidential informant. The operation involved a poseur-buyer scenario where PO1 Darelle Jed Delbo, acting as the buyer, successfully transacted with Casa to purchase shabu. Subsequently, Casa was arrested, and an inventory of seized items was conducted at the SOG office instead of the place of arrest for “security reasons,” in the presence of select witnesses, but not including the accused herself in the photo documentation as she allegedly avoided it.

Upon arraignment, Casa pled not guilty, and a trial ensued. Throughout the trial, the prosecution presented several witnesses, including law enforcement officers involved in the operation and the handling of the seized items. Casa, on the other hand, denied the charges and claimed she was wrongly arrested while buying gas for a scooter she was riding with a companion.

The Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Dumaguete City found Casa guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the offenses charged. The Court of Appeals (CA) subsequently affirmed this decision, prompting Casa to appeal to the Supreme Court.

Issues:

1. Whether the prosecution sufficiently established Casa’s guilt beyond reasonable doubt for the sale and possession of dangerous drugs.
2. Whether the integrity and chain of custody of the seized drugs were properly preserved under the requirements specified in R.A. No. 9165, as amended by R.A. No. 10640.
3. Whether the nonpresence of Casa during the inventory of the seized items and her absence in the photo documentation invalidate the arrest and seizure.

Court’s Decision:

The Supreme Court found the appeal meritorious, reversing the decisions of both the RTC

and the CA. The Court ruled in favor of acquitting Casa for failure of the prosecution to prove her guilt beyond reasonable doubt. The Court noted significant gaps and inconsistencies in the chain of custody of the seized drugs, particularly concerning the mandatory inventory and photo documentation in the presence of the accused or her representative, as required by law. Moreover, the Court found the testimonies of the prosecution's witnesses, particularly the poseur-buyer's uncorroborated account of the buy-bust operation, insufficient to establish Casa's criminal liability.

#### Doctrine:

The decision emphasizes the paramount importance of strict adherence to the procedures outlined in R.A. No. 9165, particularly the chain of custody rule, to ensure the integrity of seized dangerous drugs. It reiterates that any deviation from these procedures, without justifiable grounds, compromises the evidentiary value of the seized items and undermines the presumption of regularity in police operations.

#### Class Notes:

- The case underlines the necessity of the prosecution proving each element of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Chain of Custody Rule: Emphasizes the strict compliance with the process outlined in R.A. No. 9165 for handling seized dangerous drugs to ensure their integrity from the moment of seizure to presentation in court.
- The accused's presumption of innocence remains paramount, placing the burden of proof onto the prosecution.

#### Historical Background:

The case showcases the challenges and procedural intricacies involved in enforcing drug laws in the Philippines. It underscores the judiciary's role in ensuring that the rights of accused individuals are protected while upholding the law. Furthermore, it reflects ongoing concerns regarding the proper conduct of buy-bust operations and the handling of evidence in drug-related cases, highlighting the importance of balanced and fair judicial scrutiny to prevent wrongful convictions.